

REPORT OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION / DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION

Wednesday, November 4, 2015 –4:30 p.m.

Portage County Annex Building
Conference Room 1 & 2 (First Floor)
1462 Strongs Avenue, Stevens Point, WI 54481

PRESENT: Chairperson Lee Beveridge, Alderperson Garrett Ryan, Commissioner Tim Siebert, Commissioner Sarah Scripps, Commissioner Tom Baldischwiler, Commissioner Joe Debauche and Commissioner Bob Woehr.

ALSO PRESENT: Director Ostrowski, Associate Planner Kyle Kearns, City Attorney Beveridge, Alderperson Kneebone, Alderperson McComb, Kent Hall, Sue Hall, Travis Haines, Cathy Dugan, Richard Ruppel, Jutta Brendel, Erick Yonke, Aaron Jones, Dylan Belisle, Logan Dredske, Same Cora, Cory Lasure, Justin Jones, Eric Storeres, Andrew Heck, Dylan Genrick, Jacob Livingston, Aaron Delanndrea, Tim Zimmerman, Cory Rehfeldt, Darlene Todd, and Tori Jennings.

INDEX:

Discussion and possible action on the following:

1. Approval of the reports of the September 2, 2015, Special September 16, 2015, and October 7, 2015 HP/DRC Meetings.
2. Request from Eric and Alicia Skrenes for design review to install an entry door at **1408 Clark Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-1006-16)**.
3. Request from Eric Yonke, representing the property owner, for design review to demolish a garage and create a parking area at **1408-10 College Avenue (Parcel ID 2408-32-1004-06)**.
4. Request from Candlewood Property Management LLC for design review to replace porches at **1517 Main Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-1006-02)**.
5. Request from Sentry Insurance to expand a parking lot at **1421 Strongs Avenue (Parcel ID 2408-32-2024-06)**.
6. Request from Peter Spencer for design review to install external sign lighting at 924 Clark Street **(Parcel ID 2408-32-2018-16)**.
7. Adjourn.

-
1. Approval of the reports of the September 2, 2015, Special September 16, 2015, and October 7, 2015 HP/DRC Meetings.

Motion by Alderperson Ryan to approve the reports of the September 2, 2015, Special September 16, 2015, and October 7, 2015 HP/DRC Meetings; seconded by Commissioner Siebert.

Motion carried 5-0.

2. Request from Eric and Alicia Skrenes for design review to install an entry door at **1408 Clark Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-1006-16)**.

Economic Development Specialist Kyle Kearns explained the applicants have requested to install a new side door at their property of 1408 Clark Street. The existing door appears to be original, of wood construction, which is inefficient and there are other concerns with the wood separating. The proposed door is a fiberglass door with a composite shell that is designed to mimic wood, with a single pane window. In regards to design review, the proposed door should closely match the original materials and size. This door does appear wooden, but is not wooden; therefore staff would require that a more appropriate door be proposed.

Eric Skrenes, 1408 Clark Street, explained they had looked for wooden doors, but could not find one that matched the panel door. They have investigated some solid wood doors and they were cost prohibitive. In looking around at neighboring homes, most have fiberglass doors now. They then narrowed the search for something that looked like a wood door, but would be much more efficient.

Commissioner Woehr asked where the door leads to. Mr. Skrenes stated this is a door leading to the basement stairs. He then asked if the owner had looked into wood insulated doors, to which Mr. Skrenes stated yes and they were in the similar price range as a solid wood door.

Chairperson Beveridge stated that there is a company in town that does woodworking and is able to reconstruct a replica of the door.

Commissioner DeBauche asked if this door was on the driveway side of the structure, which would be a factor of durability for any door replacement, to which Mr. Skrenes stated yes.

Commissioner Woehr confirmed with the applicant if the door swing was going to be changed from an out-swing to swinging in and if there would be a storm door provided. Mr. Skrenes answered yes the swing would be changed, but a storm door would not be installed at this time.

Cathy Dugan, 615 Sommers Street, spoke about letting the public know that G & S Woodworking on the corner of Stanley and Maria is able to design doors and can create what a home owner wants.

Aldersperson Ryan asked if the property owner would be interested in checking to see if the door can be rehabilitated and sealed, and if the cost was comparable to the fiberglass door. Mr. Skrenes stated yes he would be able to check into that.

Motion by Commissioner Siebert to deny the request from Eric and Alicia Skrenes for design review to install an entry door at 1408 Clark Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-1006-16) with a recommendation for the property owner to pursue door rehabilitation or a wood door replacement in which the chairperson and designated agent shall have the authority to review and approve; seconded by Commissioner Scripps.

Motion carried 5-0.

3. Request from Eric Yonke, representing the property owner, for design review to demolish a garage and create a parking area at **1408-10 College Avenue (Parcel ID 2408-32-1004-06)**.

Economic Development Specialist Kyle Kearns explained that the two stall garage at 1408 College Avenue was razed. The request for a permit and Historic Preservation / Design Review was obtained after the demolition. The applicant is also requesting to install a parking pad where the garage used to be to create an area for four parking stalls. Mr. Kearns identified the out building as having construction elements of the 1930's, and in 2008 the Assessor's office had the condition listed as fair. He continued explaining that the application cited the foundation and garage were sinking and that was the reason for razing the structure. Staff recommends denying the request and that the applicant either reconstructs a garage similar in size and materials, or maintain the area green space with no parking area installed. He noted that if there was a parking change for a multi – tenant building, a conditional use permit would be required, having to be reviewed the Plan Commission and Common Council.

Commissioner Woehr asked if any historic photos were located showing the out building, to which Mr. Kearns stated he was unable to locate any such photos. He then asked if a citation had been issued to the property owner, to which Director Ostrowski stated double permit fees had been charged for the razing permit.

Eric Yonke, 1418 College Avenue, stated he is representing the owners. He explained that he was working with an excavating company and the equipment just showed up, so they started work. The building inspectors had been by and stated that a razing permit was needed, to which he came in and obtained one from the Community Development Department at which time he learned of the Historic Preservation and Design Review Commission's regulations when razing a structure. Furthermore, regarding parking, he has been in conversation with a couple of asphalt companies, and stated they will not be able to do the asphalt due to the size and shape of the yard and driveway. He has also been speaking with Alchemy Concrete for some ideas for design. Mr. Yonke is asking for a slight change to the staff recommendations to allow for three parking stalls instead of two because the structure is a three unit building. Parking in the driveway is difficult in that it is very narrow and the cars would have to be moved for other tenants to get in and out as well as not being aesthetically pleasing to the neighborhood. He stated he would be willing to look into the costs of building a garage, and asked the commission to look at building a garage that could hold three vehicles with the understanding of the Plan Commission requirements for setbacks and size. He will try to do what he can to try to make this right. He continued stating this garage and the neighbors building were touching, and leaning against each other.

Aldersperson Ryan clarified that the applicant felt the concrete sunk because of the neighboring property and water drainage, to which Mr. Yonke stated he feels it was because the concrete was poured into the garage later than when the garage was originally built.

Commissioner Beveridge asked if there were footings, to which Mr. Yonke stated he only observed some rocks but no plate or footings. He then asked how long the garage had been deteriorating, to which Mr. Yonke stated a lot in the last 2-3 years.

Commissioner Baldischwiler asked when the overhead door was added, to which Mr. Yonke stated it is the second one that the owners had installed, approximately 10 years ago, and continued stating that the vinyl siding was done in the late 80's.

Commissioner Scripps asked what the surface was right now, to which Mr. Yonke confirmed it was gravel.

Aldersperson Ryan identified the scope of work in the packet, stating your original request is to pave the entire back yard, therefore, how much effort was put into repairing and saving the structure. Mr. Yonke responded that a city inspector visited the site in the fall of 2014 and suggested to try to raise the building corner by corner, or also raze it because it is leaning against the other building. We were aware that if the garage was removed, the chances of constructing another one in that space were not very likely.

Commissioner Woehr asked if this property was in the Traditional Neighborhood District and available for the reduced setbacks, to which Director Ostrowski stated yes a reduced setback of one foot can be obtained.

Chairperson Beveridge asked if Mr. Yonke knew that this property is in the Historic Preservation District, to which he stated no.

Aldersperson Ryan explained that he is concerned that if this is allowed, it will set a precedent. He understands that the main goal of a historic district is to maintain the integrity of the neighborhood. He continued stating not having a garage in a historic district is going to lower the property value, potentially paving the back yard, is not an appealing solution for someone that might want to purchase a single family home that is connecting or adjacent to this property. Lastly, he stated if we allow this to go through without the request of the garage being re-built to the same specifications, we are opening ourselves up for others that are not going to actively follow the guidelines.

Mr. Yonke stated regarding the historic context of the neighborhood, the structure is not relevant since there are so many college rentals in this block already. The context of historic is difficult to follow unless there is a plan as to how we treat college rentals. He felt the request would upgrade the neighborhood. If possible, he wants to have the opportunity to come before the commission with the opportunity with both a garage and a parking design.

Aldersperson Ryan stated he is looking at the long term and at some point that property is going to turn over to new ownership. A house is going to be more attractive if it has covered parking, than if it doesn't. It was single family at one point and depending on how things go that area could become single family again.

Motion by Alderperson Ryan to postpone the request from Eric Yonke, representing the property owner, for design review to demolish a garage and create a parking area at 1408-10 College Avenue (Parcel ID 2408-32-1004-06), allowing for the applicant to gather additional information regarding the reconstruction of the garage or the construction of parking area; seconded by Commissioner Siebert.

Chairperson Beveridge stated that he and staff can work with the applicant on the designs.

Commissioner Woehr asked if the Traditional Overlay District controlled parking lots, to which Director Ostrowski stated it would require a ten foot setback and landscape screening.

Motion carried 5-0.

4. **Request from Candlewood Property Management LLC for design review to replace porches at 1517 Main Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-1006-02).**

Economic Development Specialist Kyle Kearns explained the property at 1517 Main Street has two porches one on the east side and one on the west side of the home. The applicant is asking to alter the porches to use a wood composite material. The work was performed on the west porch. According to the design guidelines, all porches and entryways should be maintained where possible. If there are degraded areas, or areas in need of repair, they should solely be repaired only and not fully demolished. Therefore, staff recommends denying the request and to repair and maintain the east façade porch while the west façade porch be wrapped with brick and other elements similarly matching the existing brick and the existing porch on the east side.

Commissioner Woehr asked if the cracking was from the footing settling to which Mr. Kearns stated he is not aware of the cause. He explained that one of our building inspectors had done the rental inspection and observed several things in disrepair on the property including: one of the walls bowed out, window deterioration, porch deterioration, and some interior items. A building permit was not pulled for the west porch.

Chairperson Beveridge asked if a determination was made if the footing under the rail has risen, or has the deck settled. Mr. Kearns stated that was not in the inspector's report.

Travis Haines of Candlewood Properties explained the pillars along the porch are starting to pitch out. They have owned the property for over 18 years without relatively much change. Furthermore, he explained he did not realize the house itself was in a historical district. In determining how to correct the issues, they decided to go with wood, not realizing they had anything to comply with.

Commissioner Baldischwiler left 5:18 pm.

Mr. Haines continued stating once he was aware of the process, he spoke with mason Don Dulak to look at the property and give a bid for repairs. The way the porch is pitched, as soon as the contractor tried to support the roof, the pillars fell over. The brick on the steps was deteriorated to the point that they were able to pull most of them out, the base foundation is still there, as the composite decking is built over the top.

Commissioner Woehr asked if the east façade pillars also pitch out, to which Mr. Haines answered yes.

Chairperson Beveridge stated it appears that you are willing to follow staff recommendations, to which Mr. Haines stated yes.

Motion by Commissioner Siebert to deny the request from Candlewood Property Management LLC for design review to replace porches at 1517 Main Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-1006-02) and recommend that the applicant:

- **Maintain and repair the existing brick porch appropriately, meeting all historic preservation guidelines.**
- **Wrap the altered porch along the west façade with brick at the columns and railings/retaining walls, along with performing improvements to design elements similarly matching the original and existing brick porch.**
- **The designated agent and chairperson of the commission shall have the authority to approve the plan for restoring the altered porch.**

seconded by Alderperson Ryan.

Mr. Haines asked for clarification as to whether the applicant plans to straighten the other porch, or rebuild. Mr. Kearns answered by reading the staff recommendations from the staff report. Mr. Haines stated that he is getting a recommendation to do repairs, but how do they need to be performed. Director Ostrowski recommended working with the mason to determine to what extent can be repaired, where after, the chairperson and designated agent can review and approve the plan and repairs.

Motion amended by Commissioner Siebert to deny the request from Candlewood Property Management LLC for design review to replace porches at 1517 Main Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-1006-02) and recommend that the applicant:

- **Maintain and repair the existing brick porch appropriately, meeting all historic preservation guidelines.**
- **Wrap the altered porch along the west façade with brick at the columns and railings/retaining walls, along with performing improvements to design elements similarly matching the original and existing brick porch.**
- **Replacement steps shall be poured concrete with a brick inlay.**
- **The designated agent and chairperson of the commission shall have the authority to approve the plan for restoring the altered porch.**

- **Work shall be completed prior to June 1, 2016.**

seconded by Alderperson Ryan.

Motion carried 5-0.

5. Request from Sentry Insurance to expand a parking lot at **1421 Strongs Avenue (Parcel ID 2408-32-2024-06)**.

Director Ostrowski explained that Sentry is requesting to expand the parking lot at the downtown Strongs Avenue location. With employee counts increasing, they are looking at adding approximately 30 additional spaces to the north of the existing lot. Currently, the area is private green space which provides a number of mature trees as well as other shrubbery and a hedge row. The proposed plan is to remove a number of mature trees. Our guidelines indicate any trees over six inches should be retained unless it is determined that they are diseased, dying, or storm damaged. In speaking with the city forester, there are a couple of trees that could likely be removed, however the majority of the trees are in good shape and would need pruning in the spring. In addition, there is a private walkway in that area. In regards to constructing the parking lot, the City Forester indicated that he does have concerns regarding the large Elm trees in the city right-of-way, if the parking lot is constructed. The construction of the parking lot may have the potential to damage the root system of the Elm trees. Our guidelines require the area to be maintained and certain mature trees to be maintained as well. Therefore, since the guidelines are not met, staff would recommend denial of the parking area as submitted.

Commissioner Scripps asked if the recommendation is for the entirety of the green area, to which Director Ostrowski stated yes the shrubbery along the Clark Street side adds a street defining element, that we recommend retaining. The greenspace itself serves as a pocket park that adds to the block and connects the Sentry building to the residential home to the east.

Commissioner Woehr asked about the handout, to which Director Ostrowski explained it relates to City Forester's comments for the site.

Daniel Von Ebers, Rettler Corporation, explained there is a significant need for additional parking and expansion. There is the space available within the Sentry property to create this lot and it can be created within the ordinance guidelines.

Director Ostrowski read the City Forester's comments about the trees on the site.

Dennis Grubba, Sentry Insurance, stated they are hoping and trying to get some guidance from the commission as to what they can do for expansion of parking. When creating the proposed lot, city guidelines for parking were followed which provided for greenspace and trees and shrubs.

Commissioner Siebert stated there are a couple of large city parking lots along Water Street that could be used, to which Mr. Grubba stated they wanted the parking to be as close to the building as possible.

Aldersperson Ryan asked if they have considered alternatives such as park and ride, incentives to living close, or better year round parking for bikes/mopeds, to which Mr. Grubba stated they currently promote all of these options to the employees. Aldersperson Ryan then asked what percentage of the lot and the lot across the street is full, to which Mr. Grubba answered it is a guesstimate of over 95% full. Aldersperson Ryan then asked for clarification if Sentry is averse to using other lots, to which Mr. Grubba stated no, they have signs posted within the building for the associates to use parking along Water Street, and behind the old AT&T building.

Chairperson Beveridge asked if there were any assigned parking or assignments based on a seniority system, to which Mr. Grubba stated there are some assigned parking spots but not based on seniority.

Aldersperson Ryan asked if it was possible to give permits to new employees, to which Mr. Grubba stated there is a permit policy in place to keep track of Sentry employees and to control non-associates from parking there. Aldersperson Ryan clarified he is suggesting forcing the newer employees to utilize the other lots further out since walking the two blocks to the building is not any different than what other employees do.

Commissioner Scripps asked if it was only the distance that the employees don't like, or the location of the other lots, to which Mr. Grubba answered both.

Commissioner Siebert pointed out that this is the only greenspace along Clark Street from the bridge to Trinity Lutheran Church. Mr. Grubba stated Sentry is a big fan of greenspace, and do not want to remove it, but parking is needed.

Commissioner Woehr asked if they had considered a parking structure, to which Mr. Grubba stated Sentry is looking into the costs of that as well.

Commissioner Debauche asked how they determined the number of spaces needed, to which Mr. Von Ebers stated that was based on the needs of Sentry and the number of employees.

Aldersperson Ryan asked if there have been incentives for a discount on health insurance if people were willing to walk. He does not see the need of removing the greenspace versus employees walking two blocks to park. You have told us already that there is parking that would meet your needs just two blocks away that is not being utilized. Commissioner Siebert added there are three major parking lots on Water Street that could easily accommodate the parking needs. Aldersperson Ryan added that from the photos provided, it appears that the lots are only half full. Mr. Grubba stated he can get more current photos that would show there was not a single open stall and they can also get current numbers of parking stalls as well as employees who park there.

Commissioner Scripps asked if the staff has other recommendations in terms of alternatives.

Mr. Kearns stated the recommendations from the staff are listed in the report, and it is up to the commission if they want to see updated photos and parking numbers, but it does not take away from the fact that we have identified the area as having historic defining characteristics.

Motion by Commissioner Siebert to deny request from Sentry Insurance to expand a parking lot at 1421 Strongs Avenue (Parcel ID 2408-32-2024-06), seconded by Alderperson Ryan.

Mr. Von Ebers asked if it was possible to maintain the evergreens but add parking without the listed number of parking spaces as shown on the plan. Chairperson Beveridge explained that the issue is not the parking, but the historic characteristics of the greenspace.

Kent Hall, 200 Pine Bluff Road, urged the commission to deny the request, stating there are alternatives for parking, it is a historic location, and that there are rare birds residing in the greenspace. He then read a prepared statement from Alderperson McComb who is also against this request.

Cathy Dugan, 615 Sommers Street, objected to the expansion of the parking into the greenspace, and she read a prepared statement in opposition.

Trevor Roark, 601 Washington Avenue, pointed out there is a lack of greenspace downtown already. He asked if Sentry has found alternatives such as employees using the city bus, bike parking, or walking incentives. He suggested prioritizing parking for senior employees, or even to lease or rent parking stalls from the city.

Tori Jennings, 1632 Ellis Street, encouraged Sentry to take a leadership role for coming up with parking alternatives. She is against the expansion of the parking lot.

Commissioner Siebert pointed out there were letters from the Sanders and Alderperson McComb against the expansion of the parking lot that he would like placed in the record, as well as the comments from the City Forester.

Motion carried 5-0.

6. **Request from Peter Spencer for design review to install external sign lighting at 924 Clark Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-2018-16).**

Economic Development Specialist, Kyle Kearns stated the request from Peter Spencer is for lighting at the old Bumper to Bumper building where a new restaurant has opened, El Jefe. The sign was approved internally by the chair and staff as it meets the guidelines. The request is for additional lighting of an LED strip to be added on top of the awing, illuminating the sign, in an L-shaped bracket

that would not be seen from the street. The guidelines recommend lighting to be a goose neck style which would shine light down onto the sign or building. Staff recommends approval, as the proposed lighting will not be intrusive to the surrounding properties and will create a unique aesthetic. Director Ostrowski added that the low illumination will keep the light pollution down. Chairperson Beveridge stated he has reviewed this as well, and felt it was ok but being that the request is so different, he has brought it before the commission.

Commissioner Woehr asked if the light would be on all night, or just when the restaurant is open, to which Mr. Kearns answered he was unsure.

Commissioner Beveridge stated staff could review after the light is installed for a timeframe as to when to have it illuminated or not.

Motion by Alderperson Ryan to approve the request from Peter Spencer for design review to install external sign lighting at 924 Clark Street (Parcel ID 2408-32-2018-16) with the following conditions:

- **All electrical wiring and bulbs shall be hidden from view.**
- **The aluminum L-bracket shall be painted matching the brown color of the building.**
- **The bracket shall have holes or screening as to not retain water**

seconded by Commissioner Siebert. Motion carried 5-0.

7. Adjourn.

Meeting adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

NOTE:

The attached items were provided to the Historic Preservation Design Review Commission prior to the November 4, 2015 meeting or at the meeting, separate from the Historic Preservation Agenda and Packet.

City Forester Comments

Sentry Park Trees

Three of the four Spruce trees look to be in fine shape. The smallest spruce is suppressed and skinny.

The maple behind the Sanders house is in poor shape, and probably warrants removal.

The large maple tree along the parking lot has deadwood, and signs of past broken limbs. There is also some decay and woodpecker activity on the tree. The dead limbs and broken stubs should be pruned and the extent of decay should be evaluated further to get a more accurate decision on the tree. The tree is located next to picnic tables and parking stalls which is why it would be important to further evaluate of the tree. The tree appears to be declining. Past parking lot work and resulting root damage may have helped the tree decline.

The remaining maple looks like it only needs to be thinned and dead-wooded and it would be fine.

These observations were made from the ground and with leaves off, without knowledge of any past construction that may have occurred around the trees. I only did a walk around visual inspection of the trees.

I also wanted to note that the two large elm trees in the city right-of-way along Clark Street. Much of the root system of the two trees I'm sure extend under the sidewalk and into the Sentry green-space. A parking lot in this area would negatively impact the trees root system.

From: [Mary](#)
To: garrettryanalders@gmail.com; bobandhilde@core.com; jdebauch@yahoo.com; sscrips@uwsp.edu; tjsiebert@charter.net; tbadger4@sbcglobal.net; appraise@charter.net
Cc: [Michael Ostrowski](#); [Mike Wiza](#); [Kyle Kearns](#); marymccombalder@gmail.com
Date: Tuesday, November 3, 2015 2:29:07 PM

Dear Members of the Historic Preservation Design Review Commission:
(Due to a Library Board meeting, I can't attend the HPDRC meeting tomorrow.)

As a Downtown business owner, I ask the Commission to deny Sentry's request to replace the green "parklet" between its building and the historic Andrae house with a parking lot.

A parking lot, no matter how well masked by trees and hedges, fails to meet criteria for an addition to Stevens Point's historic Downtown. It's also unnecessary.

This green space has existed for 91 years. It used to be larger, but over time, the insurance company has carved away the green to expand its parking, in addition to leveling the block south of Sentry. The result is an ugly flattened landscape instead of a former vibrant commercial and residential area. The Sentry-Whiting Hotel area has been Stevens Point's most "big city" corner. Part of its charm is the green oasis behind Sentry.

The Design Review Guidelines call for keeping "significant and character-defining" flora. Staff analysis focuses on the mature trees as the primary character-defining elements of the space. However, grass ("groundcover" in the Guidelines) is also a defining element. Even if the parking plan could retain or replace trees, groundcover will be lost. Have you ever walked through there on a hot day and noticed how cool the parklet is? That's due to trees *and* grass. The lot would replace a significant grassy area with hard surface, again going against Guidelines.

The walkway would be lost. So the parking lot would interfere with pedestrian mobility, defined in our Guidelines as part of a vibrant downtown. An additional parking lot once again privileges vehicles over other forms of transportation.

Sentry proposes screening the lot with the existing hedge. This might be effective for passing vehicle traffic. It would not mitigate the effect on pedestrians or bicyclists who would still be hit by the negative visual impact of cars beyond the hedge. Even the increased setback that staff would require doesn't lessen the impact of more cars and more hard surfaces in an already over-parking-lotted Downtown.

It's clear that even if some of the trees and green could be maintained, this parking lot is simply against the spirit as well as the letter of our Guidelines.

Also questionable is whether additional parking is necessary. Clearly, Sentry wishes to make life easier for their employees. However, just a few hundred steps west of Sentry, Public Lot 1 has ample unoccupied spaces (see yellow area in photo below). The recent parking study found that Lot 1 has 25 – 60% vacancy rates for its 131 spaces. The reserved Alternative School parking could easily be moved to the middle rows, and permits issued for Sentry employees to park in the row nearest their workplace. If 300-400 steps is too far for Sentry employees, Sentry could consider a parking structure or shuttles from its headquarters building.

To sum up, Sentry's parking lot would result in the loss of a nearly 100-year old green space for a non-existent need.

Sincerely,
Mary McComb
Owner

Sugar Doll Chocolate & Cards

1336 Strongs Avenue
Stevens Point, Wisconsin 54481
715-341-5556
mary@sugardollpoint.com
M-F 10-5:30, Sat 10-4

Attachment: Photo of current Sentry parking and available public parking nearby.



From: [ada sanders](#)
To: [Michael Ostrowski](#); [Kyle Kearns](#)
Subject: Fw: Proposed Expanded Parking Lot 1421 Strongs Avenue
Date: Wednesday, November 4, 2015 8:22:27 AM

Gentlemen...FYI...
ada sanders

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: ada sanders <aasan04@yahoo.com>
To: Garrett Ryan <garrettryanalders@gmail.com>; Tim Siebert <tjsiebert@charter.net>; Thomas Baldischwiler <tbadger4@sbcglobal.net>; Lee Beveridge <appraise@charter.net>; Sarah Scripps <sscripps@uwsp.edu>; Joseph DeBauche <jdebauch@yahoo.com>; Robert Woehr <bobandhilde@core.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 3, 2015 9:11 PM
Subject: Proposed Expanded Parking Lot 1421 Strongs Avenue

Historic Preservation Design Review Commissioners:

Removal of the last surviving remnant of the once elegant corporate park that, in its original configuration, created a beautiful and distinguished setting for the Classic building that housed the Hardware Mutual Insurance Company since 1922, would substantially diminish the historic streetscape that has been a prominent feature of the central business district for as long as memory serves.

Too many of this city's historically important sites have been subjected to ill-conceived destruction resulting in a dismal loss of character.

There are good, tested, preferable alternatives to the proposed demolition that provide long-term,

rather than short-term solutions while maintaining the character of the environs and the city in general.

We strongly support denial of the requested permit.

Ada Andrae Sanders

d. k. sanders jr.

Jeanette Sanders